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#### Abstract

A general strategy has been developed for enantioselective synthesis of diverse carbanucleosides. The key step is a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed enantioselective allylic amination of cis-3,5-dibenzoyloxycyclopent-2-ene $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with the nucleobase. With guanine-derived nucleobase 13 and chiral ligand $\mathbf{9}$, a $93-96 \%$ ee was obtained, while 6 -chloropurine and chiral ligand $\mathbf{8}$ gave $94 \%$ ee. The reaction was followed by a second $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed allylic alkylation with phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methane 6. The nitrosulfone, thus obtained, served as a versatile intermediate for divergent synthesis in which the phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methyl group is a surrogate for the hydroxymethyl side chain. With the guanine-derived nucleobase 13, ( - -carbovir was obtained in only four steps from 10a. With 6-chloropurine as an adenine equivalent, the obtained nitrosulfone intermediate $\mathbf{2 6}$ could be converted into both $(-)$-aristeromycin and ( - -neplanocin A as well as their $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi isomers.


Carbanucleosides have been the focus of much recent attention in the development of new antiviral and antitumor therapeutic agents. ${ }^{1,2}$ Due to the absence of a glycosidic linkage, carbanucleosides are chemically more stable and not subject to the phosphorylases that cleave the N -glycosidic linkage in conventional nucleosides. Many carbanucleosides that exhibit potent and selective biological activity have now been identified..$^{1,2}$ During a large search for new antiviral agents, particularly for the treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), $(-)$-carbovir 1 was discovered ${ }^{3}$ and subsequently shown to possess significant in vitro activity as an inhibitor of HIV reverse transcriptase. ${ }^{4}$ The adenosine analogues ( - )-aristeromycin 2 and $(-)$-neplanocin A 3, isolated from Streptomyces citricolor ${ }^{5}$ and Ampullariella regularis, ${ }^{6}$ respectively, are also strong antiviral agents due to their potent inhibition of the cellular enzyme S-adenosyl homocysteine hydrolase. ${ }^{7}$ In addition, ( - )-neplanocin A has been shown to possess anticancer activity especially against leukemia. ${ }^{8}$ Because of their pharmaceutical importance, these compounds have been the subject of many studies and a number of total and formal syntheses have been reported. ${ }^{2,9-11}$ Although none of these three carbanucleosides has been developed into a drug, they have paved the way for the development of analogues that are more effective and less toxic

[^0]therapeutic agents. One example is the cyclopropylamino derivative of (-)-carbovir, abacavir 4, which has a higher oral bioavailability than the parent compound and is now in phase III clinical trials for HIV treatment. ${ }^{12}$ Another example is the cytosine analogue of neplanocin A, cyclopentenyl cytosine 5, which is in clinical development for cancer treatment. ${ }^{13}$ In any search for analogues, it is important to have an efficient synthetic

[^1]Scheme 1. Stategies to Carbanucleosides Based on Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation

route that is not only short and enantioselective but also divergent so that modifications can easily be introduced.





Our long time interest in palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylations has led us to develop several strategies for the synthesis of carbanucleosides. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~h}, 14,15}$ The key steps are two $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylations on an activated cyclopentene skeleton to introduce the nucleobase and the hydroxymethyl side chain. In our first generation synthesis the nucleobase $(\mathrm{Nu})$ was first reacted with cyclopentadiene monoepoxide (Scheme 1). ${ }^{14}$ Then, a second $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylation with the anion of phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methane (6) introduced a one-carbon side chain which can be converted into the hydroxymethyl group. Although rather short, this synthesis gives racemic carbanucleosides. ${ }^{14}$ Our development of a series of chiral modular ligands 7-9 $\mathbf{9}^{16,17}$

allowed the development of an asymmetric second-generation
synthesis using the cycloaddition of bis-benzoate $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with $\mathbf{6}$ as the enantiodiscriminating step. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ However, introduction of the hydroxymethyl side chain and the nucleobase did require a significant number of steps. Since the nucleobase is introduced late in the sequence, this strategy is useful to access a series of analogues in which this unit is varied-as is desired in a medicinal chemistry program. A desire to streamline the route led to the development of a third generation synthesis. ${ }^{15}$ In this strategy, the nucleobase is introduced directly in the enantiodiscriminating step followed by a second regio- and diastereoselective $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylation to introduce the phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methyl side chain. Two key questions that needed to be resolved involve the ability to use the nucleobases in the enantiodiscriminating step and to employ the initial alkylation product in a further $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed allylic alkylation without loss of the nucleobase. Here, we report a full account of this third generation carbanucleoside synthesis exemplified by the synthesis of ( - -carbovir, ( - -aristeromycin, and ( - -neplanocin A as well as the $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi analogues of the latter two.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis of (-)-Carbovir. We have previously examined the asymmetric allylic alkylation (AAA) reaction wherein bisbenzoate $10 \mathbf{a}^{18}$ was reacted with a variety of nucleophiles in the presence of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ and chiral ligand 7. ${ }^{17}$ The asymmetric induction in this case should in principle be independent of the nucleophile. This also seems to be true for simple nucleophiles such as malonates, ${ }^{16}$ sulfinates, ${ }^{19}$ azide, ${ }^{20}$ and amines ${ }^{16}$ which all give high yields and ee's in the alkylation reaction. In accordance with our model for this system, the (S,S)-ligand 7 in all cases preferentially ionizes the pro-R leaving group. However, nucleobases behave differently and show a remarkable effect on the catalytic turnover and the enantioselectivity, probably as a result of their ability to coordinate with palladium.

[^2]Table 1. AAA Reaction of $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ with $\mathbf{1 1}$

|  |  | yield (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| entry | ligand | $\mathbf{1 4}^{a}$ | $\mathbf{1 5}$ |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ | $29(48)$ | 21 | of $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $39(53)$ | 13 | -48 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{9}$ | $28(31)$ | 32 | -95 |

${ }^{a}$ Yields in parantheses are based on recovered 10a.
For the synthesis of (-)-carbovir, a guanine equivalent was needed for the enantiodiscriminating step. Guanine itself is extremely insoluble in organic solvents, and as a result, 2-amino6 -chloropurine (11) is often used as a substitute. We have successfully employed this equivalent in $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed allylic alkylations with achiral ligands without complications. In addition, a base was needed for the enantioselective allylic amination. The choice of base proved to have a significant influence on the conversion using ligand $\mathbf{8}$ and nucleobase 11 (eq 1). Tertiary amine bases were superior to inorganic bases

such as sodium hydride and cesium carbonate. In particular, the more sterically hindered amine bases gave better conversion. In the series triethylamine, diisopropylethylamine and 1,2,2,6,6pentamethylpiperidine (pempidine), the yield of the allylation product more than doubled. As a result, pempidine was selected as the base of choice. An even more profound influence was observed with the choice of ligand. Although no ee has been determined at this point, the measured optical rotations clearly show that ligand 9 is superior to 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ (Table 1). Bicyclic ligand 9 presumably has a larger $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{P}$ bite angle than 7 and $8 .{ }^{16}$ However, these results are still surprising because ligands $\mathbf{7}$ and $\mathbf{8}$ have previously given excellent yields and ee's with the simpler nucleophiles mentioned above. ${ }^{17}$ As indicated in Table 1, both products of N-9 and N-7 alkylation were isolated. Especially for ligand 9 , this ratio was not satisfactory. Consequently, other purine nucleophiles were investigated that would give more of the desired N-9 product. The C-6 substituent was changed from chlorine to more sterically demanding groups in an effort to block the N-7 position. Introducing the TMSethoxy group as in $\mathbf{1 2}^{21}$ gave, in fact, only one regioisomer in the alkylation, but only in about $20 \%$ yield. A much more gratifying result was obtained with diphenylcarbamate $\mathbf{1 3}^{22}$ where the electron-donating ability of the 2-amino group has at the same time been reduced by acetylation (eq 2, Table 2).


A $57 \%$ yield of the desired N-9 alkylation product 16 was obtained in $90 \%$ ee. Lowering the reaction temperature from room temperature to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave higher catalytic turnover (Table

[^3]Table 2. AAA Reaction of 10a with 13

| entry | $\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PdCl}\right]_{2}(\%)$ | 9 (\%) | temp. | yield (\%) |  |  | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $16^{a}$ | 17 | 18 | of 16 |
| 1 | 2.5 | 7.5 | rt | 49 (57) | 13 | NI | 90 |
| 2 | 2.5 | 7.5 | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 50 (54) | 8 | 21 | 96 |
| 3 | 1.5 | 4.5 | $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 59 (70) | 7 | 16 | 93 |

${ }^{a}$ Yields in parentheses are based on recovered 10a. $\mathrm{NI}=$ not isolated.

Scheme 2. Asymmetric Synthesis of (-)-Carbovir ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $1 \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 8 \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathbf{6}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 97 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$, TBA-oxone, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 71 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$, THF, then aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 61 \%$.

2, entries 2 and 3), yield (up to $70 \%$ ), and ee (up to $96 \%$ ) as well as a better ratio between the $\mathrm{N}-9$ and the $\mathrm{N}-7$ product. One recrystallization from EtOAc enhanced the ee to more than $98 \%$. Surprisingly, the doubly alkylated product $\mathbf{1 8}$ was also isolated. The formation of this may, in part, contribute to the higher ee by imposing a partial kinetic resolution of the monoalkylated product after the initial enantiodiscriminating allylic alkylation.


Having prepared enantiopure 16 the hydroxymethyl side chain can now be introduced in three steps (Scheme 2). A second $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylation with phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methane (6) and triethylamine gives nitrosulfone $\mathbf{1 9}$ as a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture almost quantitatively. Previous work relied upon ozonolysis to cleave the nitrosulfone to the ester-an oxidation protocol not compatible with the double bond. Thereby, we developed a new protocol for this transformation. ${ }^{20}$ Chemoselective oxidation of the tetramethylguanidine salt with tetrabutylammonium oxone ${ }^{23}$ (TBA-oxone) in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ buffered with sodium carbonate gave methyl ester $\mathbf{2 0}$ in $71 \%$ yield. The ee's in Table 2 were determined from this methyl ester by NMR spectroscopy using $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ as the chiral shift reagent. Reduction of the ester with calcium borohydride ${ }^{24}$ followed by an aqueous ammonia workup to remove the guanine-protecting groups then generated ( - )-carbovir in $61 \%$ yield. Employing lithium borohydride in lieu of calcium borohydride gave a slightly lower yield. The spectral data and the optical rotation were in

[^4]Scheme 3. Asymmetric Alkylation with Adenine Equivalent ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) For $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}, 1 \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 3 \%$ 8, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathbf{2 1 a}$ : $53 \%$ ( $76 \%$ based on rec. 10), 21b: $4 \%$, 21c: $13 \%$. For $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}-t$, $2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathbf{8}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 62 \%$ 21a. (b) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$. (c) $(S)-\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{DCC}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, quant.

Table 3. AAA Reaction of 10b with 6-Chloropurine

| entry | solvent | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | yield $(\%)$ | ee $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THF | 4 equiv | 23 | $>98$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 4 equiv | 41 | $>98$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | none | 59 | $>98$ |
| 4 | DMF | none | 62 | $>98$ |

accordance with literature values. ${ }^{9}$ This completes the enantioselective synthesis of (-)-carbovir in only four steps from bisbenzoate 10a (six steps from cyclopentadiene). Compared to our second-generation synthesis, only half the number of steps were required.

Synthesis of (-)-Aristeromycin and (-)-Neplanocin A and Their $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-Diepi Isomers. We then turned our attention to the adenine carbanucleosides. Due to the insolubility of adenine in organic solvents, we also turned to the 6 -chloro analogue as the nucleophile for the desymmetrization of bis-benzoate 10a (Scheme 3). Initial experiments with ligand 7 and triethylamine as base in THF gave poor yield and ee of the desired amination product 21a. However, switching to ligand $\mathbf{8}$ satisfactorily solved these problems. A $53 \%$ yield ( $76 \%$ based on recovered 10a) of 21a was isolated. The yield was lower when the reaction was conducted at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or when pempidine was used as base. The ee was determined by hydrolysis to the corresponding alcohol followed by esterification with ( S )- $O$-methylmandelic acid to give 22. Examination of the crude ester 22 by NMR spectroscopy revealed a de of $94 \%$. Recrystallization of 21a from EtOAc gave material with lower ee. As observed above with the guanine nucleophiles, products of N-7 (e.g., 21b) and bis-amination (e.g., 21c) were also obtained here in the desymmetrization reaction, but in lower yields ( $4 \%$ and $13 \%$ respectively).

Since the leaving group can affect the enantioselectivity, we also examined use of a better leaving group, a carbonate, which, because ionization could occur at lower temperatures, might lead to enhanced selectivity. As shown in Scheme 3 and Table 3 , the reaction does proceed to completion at room temperature. The table reveals several trends. Yields increased in switching from THF to methylene chloride (entries 2 and 3). Best results were obtained in the absence of base (entries 3 and 4). Under

Table 4. AAA Reaction of 10a with Uracil and Analogues ${ }^{a}$

| entry | nucleophile | solvent | base | ligand | yield (\%) |  | ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 25 | 24 |
| 1 | 23a | THF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 8 | - | - | - |
| 2 | 23a | THF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ | 8 | 43 | - | 94 |
| 3 | 23 c | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | NONE | 8 | trace | 10 | - |
| 4 | 23c | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 8 | trace | 22 | - |
| 5 | 23c | DMF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 8 | 50 | 27 | 98 |
| 6 | 23c | DMF | $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ | 8 | 27 | 10 | 98 |
| $7^{\text {b }}$ | 23c | DMF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 8 | 52 | trace | 97 |
| $8^{c}$ | 23 c | DMF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 8 | 54 | 11 | 97 |
| 9 | 23c | DMF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 7 | 55 | - | 96 |
| 10 | 23b | DMF | $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 8 | 36 | - | N.D. |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed with $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%(\mathrm{dba})_{3} \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ chiral ligand unless noted otherwise. ${ }^{b} 1$ equiv of ( $n$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ added. ${ }^{c}\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PdCl}\right]_{2}$ used as Pd source.
these latter conditions, a marginal improvement occurred by going to the more polar DMF as solvent. Satisfyingly, chiral HPLC revealed the presence of a single enantiomer in all cases.

However, the use of the BOC group as the leaving group is not optimal for all cases. For example, use of a pyrimidine base, thymine or uracil, led only to decomposition of the bis-carbonate substrate. On the other hand, the bis-benzoate 10a does lead to successful alkylation with uracil and its derivatives (see eq 3 and Table 4). The absence of a basic leaving group as in the

case of carbonate necessitated the use of a base. Nevertheless, the reaction did not perceptibly proceed in THF or methylene chloride with tertiary amine bases. On the other hand, triethylaluminum led to the monoalkylation product 24a with excellent ee (entry 2). Better results were obtained with DMF as solvent, wherein the desired monoalkylation product of $98 \%$ ee could be obtained in good yield (entry 5) along with a dialkylation product 25 . The assignment of the second alkylation at $\mathrm{N}-3$ rather than O stems from the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum which reveals $\mathrm{C}-4$ at $\delta 162.8$ consistent with the amide function of the pyrimidin-2,4-dione. The addition of tetra- $n$-butylammonium chloride suppresses the formation of the bisalkylation product 25. The use of $\left[\eta^{3} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PdCl}\right]_{2}$ as a palladium source also led to a decreased amount of dialkylation while preserving a good yield of the desired product of good ee (entry 8 ). Usefully, the standard cyclohexyl ligand 7 gave the best results (entry 9). Thus, both pyrimidine and purine bases can give quite satisfactory results.

The second $\mathrm{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed alkylation of 21a with 6 to introduce the one-carbon side chain proceeded straightforwardly to give nitrosulfone 26 in high yield (Scheme 4). This is a pivotal intermediate that can be converted into both aristeromycin and neplanocin A as well as their $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi isomers. Simple ammonolysis gave adenine derivative 27, an intermediate in our previous synthesis of aristeromycin where the crucial dihydroxylation requires the use of potassium permanganate under basic conditions ${ }^{14}$ to obtain the correct diastereomer.

Scheme 4. Asymmetric Syntheses of Aristeromycin and 2',3'-Diepi-Aristeromycin ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) $0.5 \% \mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 4 \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathbf{6}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$. (b) aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 75 \%$. (c) 4 steps, ref 14. (d) $5 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NMO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $\mathrm{rt}, 82 \%$. (e) $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}$, acetone, $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 85 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$. (g) DIBAL-H, THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 69 \%$. (h) aq. HCl , ref 14 .

## Scheme 5. Dihydroxylation Diastereoselectivity of Ester ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{NMe}_{2}$, TBA-oxone, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $72 \%$. (b) $5 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NMO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 74 \%$, dr $1: 2$ or $7 \% \mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 67 \%$, dr 2:3. (c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}, \mathrm{TsOH}$, rt, quant (d) DIBAL-H, THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, THF, rt, $62 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 2 b}, 69 \%$ for $\mathbf{3 3 b}$.

On the other hand, as noted in our earlier synthesis of racemic aristeromycin, dihydroxylation of alkene 26 catalyzed by osmium tetroxide gave syn diol $\mathbf{2 8}$ as the major product ( $82 \%$ ) and only a small amount ( $6 \%$ ) of the corresponding anti epimer was formed. Dihydroxylation catalyzed by ruthenium tetroxide ${ }^{25}$ gave more of the anti epimer ( $28 \%$ ) but the syn product was still the major one ( $53 \%$ ). In contrast to our earlier work, permangante oxidation of $\mathbf{2 7}$ also gave the syn isomer as the major product ( $43 \%$ ) and the anti as the minor one ( $12 \%$ ). To ascertain the effect of the nitrosulfone group, it was converted to the ester 29 in a fashion analogous to that employed in our carbovir sequence (Scheme 5) with excellent chemoselectivity. Dihydroxylation with osmium tetroxide still produced the anti diol 30a as the minor epimer but in a larger amount $(74 \%, \mathbf{3 0 a}$ : 31a, 1:2) compared to the nitrosulfone (anti:syn 1:14). Ruthenium tetroxide gave a slightly more favorable ratio ( $67 \%, \mathbf{3 0 a}$ : 31a 2:3) but the anti epimer was still the minor one. The

[^5]Scheme 6. Retrosynthesis of Neplanocin

stereochemistry was established by converting the corresponding acetonides 30b and 31b initially to the chloropurines 32a and 33a which, upon ammonolysis, of the crude alcohols, provided the acetonides of $(-)$-aristeromycin $\mathbf{3 2 b}, \mathrm{mp} 217-218^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-38.1^{\circ}(c 0.77, \mathrm{MeOH})^{10}$ and (+)-2', $3^{\prime}$-diepi-aristeromycin 33b, $\mathrm{mp} 257-259^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+71.7^{\circ}(c 0.4, \mathrm{MeOH})$. After our work, dihydroxylation of cis-1,4-disubstituted cyclopentenyl systems with osmium tetroxide has been shown in many but not all cases to occur predominantly from the face syn to the two allylic substituents. ${ }^{26}$ The above also sets the stage for a short diastereoselective synthesis of $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi-aristeromycin $\mathbf{3 5}$ from alkene 26 (Scheme 4). Protection of the diol to give 34 ( $85 \%$ ) and ozonolysis of the nitrosulfone in a basic methanolic solution gave methyl ester 31b in $76 \%$ yield. Reduction with DIBAL-H followed by ammonolysis gave 33b (69\%) identical, except for optical rotation, with the compound from our previous synthesis ${ }^{14}$ which on hydrolysis with dilute aqueous hydrochloric acid gives $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi-aristeromycin 35.

For neplanocin an endocyclic double bond has to be introduced. None of the intermediates for the aristeromycins are functionalized at the $4^{\prime}$ position. The synthesis of neplanocin envisioned a series of addition-eliminations to introduce the correct oxidation pattern as depicted in Scheme 6. As noted above, our early work revealed the diastereoselectivity of the osmylation proceeded preferentially to give the all cis product in related systems. Thus, we examined the diastereoselectivity of the epoxidation since the epoxide more readily would participate in the subsequent elimination. Epoxidation of nitrosulfone 26 with MCPBA gave 36 as a 1:1 diastereomeric mixture (Scheme 7). Since the starting alkene 26 is a $1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture because of the nitrosulfone carbon, it was not possible to ascertain the diastereoselectivity of the epoxidation. However, removal of this stereogenic center in the ozonolysis step gave only one product, 37. Here the relative stereochemistry of the alcohol can be assigned by making the $(S)$ - and ( $R$ )- $O$-methylmandelates 38 and 39. In general, the $O$-methylmandelate ester will adopt a conformation in which the methoxy group is eclipsed with the carbonyl group. Hereby the phenyl group will shield one of the adjacent protons $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ which then, in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, will experience an upfield shift when compared with the epimeric $O$-methylmandelate ester. ${ }^{27}$ NMR analysis of the two mandelates reveals that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ shows an upfield shift when changing from the $(S)$-mandelate to the $(R)$-mandelate, while $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ on the other hand shows a downfield shift. This is consistent with the assignment of the two mandelates as $\mathbf{3 8}$ and 39, and, consequently, $\mathbf{3 7}$ as the $\beta$-hydroxyl epimer. Thus, the epoxidation of $\mathbf{2 6}$ occurred

[^6]Scheme 7. Diastereoselectivity of Epoxidation ${ }^{a}$

${ }^{a}$ (a) MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 73 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{THF},-78$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \mathrm{rt}, 67 \%$. (c) (S)- $\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$. (d) $(R)-\mathrm{PhCH}(\mathrm{OMe}) \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 95 \%$.
selectively syn to the two allylic substituents just like the dihydroxylation with osmium tetroxide.

Nevertheless, the unsaturated ester $\mathbf{3 7}$ is a valuable intermediate for the synthesis of the neplanocins. To obtain the $\alpha$-hydroxy group stereochemistry in neplanocin A , the $\beta$-hydroxy group in 37 was inverted by esterification using a Mitsunobu reaction ${ }^{28}$ to give nitrobenzoate 40 in $62 \%$ yield (Scheme 8). Reduction with DIBAL-H converted the methyl ester into the primary alcohol, but left the nitrobenzoate ester unchanged. The latter was subsequently removed in the workup by transesterification to MeOH to give diol 41 in $79 \%$ yield. Osmium tetroxidecatalyzed dihydroxylation gave rise to only one tetraol which easily formed a bis-acetonide 44. Because an acetonide will not form a trans fused bicyclo[3.3.0] ring system, the dihydroxylation must have taken place exclusively from the $\alpha$-face to give the stereochemistry depicted in 42. Interestingly, this is a complete reversal of the facial selectivity as compared to the dihydroxylation of $\mathbf{2 6}$. Acetylation of tetraol $\mathbf{4 2}$ in pyridine gave triacetate 43. Unfortunately, dehydration of the latter with phosphorus oxychloride or thionyl chloride ${ }^{29}$ failed to generate the desired endocyclic double bond in neplanocin A, but instead furnished a mixture of all three possible elimination products: 48, 51, ${ }^{30}$ and the product containing a $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}$-endocyclic double bond. To prevent formation of this latter elimination product, a $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}-O$-isopropylidene group was introduced. Chemoselective removal of one acetonide from bis-acetonide 44 required use of a bulky Lewis acid such as ferric chloride on silica gel ${ }^{31}$ to give diol 45 in $94 \%$ yield. Standard acetylation conditions afforded monoaceate 46 in $91 \%$ yield. ${ }^{32}$ Dehydration of the latter with phosphorus oxychloride and DMAP in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave a 3:4

[^7]Scheme 8. A Synthesis of (-)-Neplanocin $\mathrm{A}^{a}$





> 48: $R=R^{\prime}=A c$
> 49: $R=A c, R^{\prime}, R^{\prime}=C M e_{2}$
> 50: $R=P i v, R^{\prime}, R^{\prime}=C M e_{2}$

51: $R=R^{\prime}=A c$
52: $R=A c, R^{\prime}, R^{\prime}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$
53: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Piv}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$


${ }^{a}$ (a) $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DEAD, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 62 \%$. (b) DIBAL$\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 79 \%$. (c) $2 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, acetone, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 89 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{rt}, 71 \%$. (e) $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}, \mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 71 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on silica gel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt , $61 \%\left(94 \%\right.$ based on rec. 44). (g) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 91 \%$. (h) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{CCOCl}$, pyridine, rt, $95 \%$. (i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, DMF, pyridine, rt, $60 \%$ ( $+8 \%$ of 53). (j) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 73 \%$. (k) aq. $\mathrm{HCl}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$.
mixture of $\mathbf{4 9}{ }^{33}$ and $\mathbf{5 2} .{ }^{30}$ To prevent elimination to the exocyclic olefin, the acetate was replaced by the bulkier pivaloylprotecting group. When the dehydration was repeated on pivalate 47 under the same conditions, only a slight improvement in regioselectivity was obtained, giving a 1:1 mixture of $\mathbf{5 0}$ and 53. ${ }^{30}$ However, by switching to thionyl chloride in pyridine as dehydrating agent, this selectivity could be improved to $8: 1$. In addition, it was discovered that adding 1 equiv of $\mathrm{DMF}^{35}$ to the mixture led to a significant increase in the rate and the yield for the dehydration. Under these conditions, a $60 \%$ yield could be obtained of the desired elimination product $\mathbf{5 0}$. Ammonolysis simultaneously removed the pivalate and converted the chloropurine into the adenine to afford the acetonide 54 . Acid hydrolysis to remove the acetonide completed this asymmetric synthesis of neplanocin A (3), mp $214-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-153.9^{\circ}$

[^8]Scheme 9. Synthesis of $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-Diepi-Neplanocin $\mathrm{A}^{a}$




${ }^{a}$ (a) DIBAL-H, THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$ ( $92 \%$ based on rec. 37). (b) $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF, $\mathrm{rt}, 78 \%$ ( $95 \%$ based on rec. 55). (c) $t$ - BuOOH , $10 \% \mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $95 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{Cu}), \mathrm{EtOH}$, ultrasound, 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$. (e) $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}$, acetone, $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 90 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $98 \%$. (g) $4 \% \mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NMO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, then (f), $65 \%$. (h) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 98 \%$. (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$, $70 \%$.
(c $0.33, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), with spectral and physical data in excellent accord with those reported for the natural substance. ${ }^{6,11}$ This strategy effects the enantioselective synthesis of (-)-neplanocin A in 13 steps from bis-benzoate 10a ( 15 steps from cyclopentadiene).

Several of the intermediates in the neplanocin synthesis are useful for preparation of analogues of neplanocin. For example, we prepared the $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi analogue which has not been described previously. Allylic alcohol 37 constitutes an ideal starting point because it already has the required hydroxy group stereochemistry. Reduction of the methyl ester to the alcohol 55 (92\%) followed by allylic bromination gave bromide $\mathbf{5 6}$ in 95\% (Scheme 9). Hydroxyl-directed epoxidation ${ }^{36}$ furnished 57 as a single diastereomer ( $95 \%$ ) which, on treatment with zinc, underwent reductive elimination ${ }^{37}$ to give diol $\mathbf{5 8}$ in $93 \%$ yield. The cis diol stereochemistry was confirmed by preparation of acetonide 59 which formed easily. However, for further use, diol 58 was quantitatively converted into diacetate $\mathbf{6 0}$. Dihydroxylation of the latter also gave a single diastereomer which, in the workup, was acetylated to give triacetate 61. The dihydroxylation has presumably occurred from the sterically least hindered $\alpha$-face to give the stereochemistry as depicted in 61. Contrary to the difficult dehydration described above, dehydration of 61 proceeded smoothly to give the desired endocyclic olefin $\mathbf{6 2}$ in near quantitative yield. Ammonolysis then removed the acetates and converted the chloropurine to the adenine to form $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-diepi-neplanocin A 63.

[^9]
## Conclusions

A short enantio- and diastereoselective approach for the synthesis of different carbanucleosides has been developed. The key step is a $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed enantioselective allylic amination of bis-benzoate 10a with the nucleobase. Contrary to a vast number of other nucleophiles including malonates, sulfinates, azide, and amines, the nucleobase shows a remarkable influence on this desymmetrization reaction. This might stem from its ability to serve as a competitive ligand and thereby disrupt the normal coordination of the palladium. Particularly guaninederived nucleobases were problematic and had to be protected with sterically demanding electron-withdrawing groups in order to function in the desymmetrization reaction. Also the choice of ligand played a major role. While our standard ligand 7 gives excellent results with the simple nucleophiles above, this ligand gave poor results with purines but quite a satisfactory result with a pyrimidine. For purines, high ee's were obtained with ligands $\mathbf{8}$ and 9 . The desymmetrization reaction is followed by a second $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed reaction with phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methane (6) to introduce the one-carbon side chain. The prospect of performing both $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$-catalyzed reactions in a single pot might further simplify the synthesis.

The strategy has resulted in a six-step synthesis of (-)carbovir from cyclopentadiene, the shortest synthesis of ( - )carbovir reported to date. ${ }^{9}$ By simple exchange of ligand stereochemistry in the desymmetrization reaction, the corresponding L-carbanucleosides could also be prepared by the same route. In addition to the strategy providing either enantiomeric series, analogues can also be easily accessed. The divergence is demonstrated in the synthesis of $(-)$-aristeromycin and $(-)$ neplanocin A where the same intermediate 26 is used for preparation of both natural compounds as well as their $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ diepi isomers. The alkene intermediates also allow for other variation of the substituents on the $2^{\prime}$ - and $3^{\prime}$-positions. Thus, the strategy should hold great promise for making diverse carbanucleosides more readily available as potential chemotherapeutic agents.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{38}$

( $1^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S$ )-1'-(2-Acetamido-6-( $N, N$-diphenyl)carbamoyloxypurin-9-yl)-4'-benzoyloxy-cyclopent- $2^{\prime}$-ene (16). To an ice-cold deoxygenated solution of ligand $\mathbf{9}^{16}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 3 mL ) were added $\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PdCl}\right]_{2}(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bis-benzoate $\mathbf{1 0 a}^{18}(500$ $\mathrm{mg}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by a deoxygenated solution of 2-acetamido-6-( $N, N$-diphenyl)carbamoyloxypurine $\mathbf{1 3}^{22}(750 \mathrm{mg}, 1.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pempidine ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was stirred vigorously for 8 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was then diluted with chloroform $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with more chloroform ( 15 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated. The residue was taken up in ethyl acetate, and 137 mg of the bis-N-9 product $\mathbf{1 8}$ was filtered off. The filtrate was purified by flash chromatography ( $2 / 1$ ethyl acetate/hexane $\rightarrow$ ethyl acetate $\rightarrow$ acetone) to afford $82 \mathrm{mg}(16 \%)$ of recovered bisbenzoate 10a and $546 \mathrm{mg}(59 \%$, $70 \%$ based on rec. 10a) of the desired product 16 as a solid, $R_{f}=0.48$ (ethyl acetate), ee $=93 \%$. A sample $(475 \mathrm{mg})$ was recrystallized from ethyl acetate $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford 370 mg , ee $>98 \%, \mathrm{mp} 156-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-108.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3402, 1737, 1729, 1720, 1621, 1587, $1492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.05-7.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.3,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.6,165.8,156.0,154.5,152.0$, $150.2,142.1,135.7,133.8,133.2,129.4,129.0,128.4,127.7-125.3$,
(38) For general procedures, see ref 19.
120.7, 77.3, 57.3, 38.3, 25.1. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 66.89 ; H, 4.56; N, 14.63. Found: C, 67.00; H, 4.54; N, 14.69.

In addition, $65 \mathrm{mg}(7 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 7}$ was obtained as a foam, $R_{f}=0.53$ (1/1 ethyl acetate/acetone).

IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3402,1755,1716,1689,1634,1563,1492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=2.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=3.2,15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 173.4$, $168.9,165.3,164.8,151.9,150.8,149.4,147.3,135.4,133.8,133.5$, 129.5, 129.3, 128.7, 128.2-126.3, 110.8, 77.7, 60.6, 38.5, 24.5. LRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : 574.2. Found: 574.2.

Furthermore, another 85 mg of $\mathbf{1 8}$, raising the total yield of $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $222 \mathrm{mg}(16 \%), R_{f}=0.43$ (1/1 ethyl acetate/acetone), mp 228-230 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec) (DMF) was isolated.

IR (KBr): 3349, 1736, 1722, 1622, 1589, 1493, 1444, 1403, 1385, $1320 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.66(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 168.9, 155.0, 154.6, 151.9, 150.2, 144.9, 141.7, 133.9, 129.4, 127.4-126.7, 120.3, 58.5, 38.7, 24.6. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 64.28; H, 4.32; N, 19.99. Found: C, 64.12; H, 4.45; N, 19.74.
( $1^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S$ )-1'-(2-Acetamido-6-( $N, N$-diphenyl)carbamoyloxypurin-9-yl)-4'-phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methyl-cyclopent- $2^{\prime}$-ene (19). To a deoxygenated solution of triphenylphosphine ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.01 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred for 20 min . It was then added to a deoxygenated solution of monobenzoate $16(600 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methane (6) ${ }^{39}(250 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$, and triethylamine $(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}$, 2.58 mmol ) in THF ( 6 mL ). After being stirred for 12 h , the reaction mixture was diluted with chloroform $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with more chloroform (10 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate) to give 660 mg ( $97 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{1 9}$ as a foam (1:1 mixture of diastereomers), $R_{f}$ $=0.48$, which crystallized from methanol, mp 204-205 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec).

IR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 3424, 3399, 1741, 1698, 1620, 1586, 1562, $1492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.1,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.9$, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=$ $6.4,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75.5 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.5,169.3,155.8$, 155.7, 154.3, 154.2, 151.4, 151.3, 150.2, 143.2, 143.0, 141.4, 135.3, $135.2,134.3,134.1,133.3,132.9,132.5,132.0,131.9,131.7,129.7$, $129.6,129.3,129.2,128.9,128.3,128.2,127.8-125.4,121.3,121.3$, $102.4,102.3,60.8,59.9,43.8,43.5,33.1,32.8,24.7$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: ~ \mathrm{C}, 58.80 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.16 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.00$. Found: C, 58.85; H, 4.24; N, 14.80.
( $1^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S$ )-1'-(2-Acetamido-6-( $N, N$-diphenyl)carbamoyloxypurin-$9-y l)-4^{\prime}$-methoxycarbonyl-cyclopent- $2^{\prime}$-ene (20). To an ice-cold solution of nitrosulfone $19(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 20 mL ) was added tetramethylguanidine $(0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min followed by addition of TBA-oxone ${ }^{23}(7.5 \mathrm{~g}$, $36 \%, 7.59 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium carbonate ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 7.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 16 h . It was then diluted with chloroform ( 100 mL ) and washed with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was back-extracted with more chloroform ( 30 mL ), and the combined chloroform layers were dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate) to furnish $584.1 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 0}$ as a solid, $R_{f}=0.43$, $\mathrm{mp} 118-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc}),[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}-61.0^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 3398,1737,1695,1620,1589,1492 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.06(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=2.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$

[^10]$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.1,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.29(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.9,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.0$, $170.8,155.9,154.6,151.8,150.3,142.5,141.5,135.2,132.0,131.9$, $131.8,131.8,130.7,129.0,128.4,128.3,128.0-125.3,120.7,59.0$, 52.3, 49.5, 34.0, 25.0. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 63.27; H, 4.72; N, 16.40. Found: C, 63.09; H, 4.93; N, 16.13.

The ee was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ using 10 mg of 20 and $25-30 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ in 0.6 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. The OMe -singlets for the $\left(1^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} R\right)$ and $\left(1^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S\right)$ enantiomers were observed at 4.30 and 4.22 ppm, respectively.
(-)-Carbovir (1). A mixture of calcium chloride ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.80$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium borohydride $(300 \mathrm{mg}, 7.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 1 h followed by addition of methyl ester $20(500 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.98 mmol ). The mixture was stirred for 24 h and then concentrated in vacuo. To the residue was added concentrated aqueous ammonia (10 mL ), and the mixture was stirred overnight. Evaporation of the solvent gave a semicrystalline residue which was passed through a short path of silica gel eluting with methanol. The eluate was concentrated and the residue purified by flash chromatography ( $7 / 3$ chloroform/methanol) to afford $147 \mathrm{mg}(61 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ as a white solid, $R_{f}=0.43, \mathrm{mp} 255-257$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right),[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-60.1^{\circ}(c 0.4, \mathrm{MeOH})\left(\mathrm{Lit} .: ~ m p ~ 205-210{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (dec), $,^{9 \mathrm{~d}} 210-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}),{ }^{9 \mathrm{i}} 271-273{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec}),{ }^{9 \mathrm{k}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-62^{\circ}(c 0.4$, $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}),{ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}[\alpha]^{24}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-66^{\circ}(c 0.4, \mathrm{MeOH})^{9 \mathrm{i}}\right)$.

IR (KBr): 3600-2600, 1736, 1696, 1636, 1570, 1536, 1483, 1417, $1384 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 10.55(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.1,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.1,5.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.7,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.7$, $13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 156.9,153.5,150.8$, 138.3, 135.1, 129.7, 116.7, 64.0, 58.5, 47.7, 34.4. IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR are in accordance with literature data. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~d}}$
( $\left.1^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S\right)-4^{\prime}$-Benzoyloxy-1'-(6-chloropurin-9-yl)-cyclopent- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ ene (21a). To a deoxygenated solution of ligand $\mathbf{8}^{16}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 50 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(650 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$. After being stirred for 15 min this solution was added to a deoxygenated mixture of bis-benzoate $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{a}^{18}(17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 56.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, 6-chloropurine ( 11 $\mathrm{g}, 71.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and triethylamine ( $32 \mathrm{~mL}, 230 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF (170 mL ). The reaction was stirred for 5 h at room temperature to give a clear brown solution. The mixture was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography ( $3 / 2$ ethyl acetate/hexane $\rightarrow$ ethyl acetate $\rightarrow$ acetone) to afford 6.02 g of recovered bis-benzoate 10a together with dibenzylideneacetone. This was crystallized from methanol ( 5 mL ) and gave 5.2 g of recovered 10a. In addition, $10.3 \mathrm{~g}(53 \%, 76 \%$ based on rec. 10a) of the desired product 21a $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph})$ was isolated, $R_{f}=0.40$ (3/7 hexane/ethyl acetate), ee $=94 \%,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-106.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.71, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), mp 90-92 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc).

IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1717,1590,1561,1334 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(300 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{dt}, J=1.3$, $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=2.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.5,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25$ (ddd, $J=7.7,8.0,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16(\mathrm{dt}, J=3.0,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 165.7,151.8,151.2,150.9,143.3,136.4$, 133.6, 133.3, 131.6, 129.4, 129.3, 128.5, 77.3, 57.4, 38.5. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 59.92; H, 3.85; N, 16.44. Found: C, 60.16; H, 4.00; N, 16.67.

In addition, $0.74 \mathrm{~g}(4 \%)$ of $\mathbf{2 1 b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}), R_{f}=0.41$ (ethyl acetate), $\mathrm{mp} 120-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc})$ was obtained.

IR (KBr): 3071, 1718, 1597, 1538, 1475, 1450, $1384 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.37 (dd, $J=2.3,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{p}, J$ $=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.7,15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 165.7,162.0,152.3,146.5,142.7,137.4,133.3,132.6,129.4$, 129.1, 128.4, 121.9, 77.1, 60.3, 39.9. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 59.92; H, 3.85; N, 16.44. Found: C, 59.72; H, 4.00; N, 16.26.

In addition, 2.9 g of recovered 6-chloropurine, $R_{f}=0.37$ (2/1 ethyl acetate/acetone) was obtained.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 300 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
In addition, $2.7 \mathrm{~g}(13 \%)$ of 21c, $R_{f}=0.36$ ( $1 / 1$ ethyl acetate/acetone), mp $250-251^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec) (DMF) was isolated.

IR (KBr): 1590, 1561, 1495, 1435, 1402, 1382, $1335 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.92$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.4,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.6,14.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=6.1,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 151.6,149.0$, 145.8, 134.1, 134.0, 131.2, 58.9, 38.3. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8}$ : C, 48.28; H, 2.70; N, 30.02. Found: C, 48.36; H, 2.54; N, 29.79.
( $1^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S$ )-1'-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-4'-phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methyl-cyclopent-2'-ene (26). To a deoxygenated solution of triphenylphosphine ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in tetrahydrofuran $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$, and the mixture was stirred for 15 min . It was then added to a deoxygenated solution of monobenzoate 21a ( $13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 39.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenylsulfonyl(nitro)methane (6) ${ }^{39}(9.3 \mathrm{~g}$, 46.2 mmol ), and triethylamine ( $13 \mathrm{~mL}, 93.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 200 mL ). After the mixture stirred for 12 h and was concentrated, the semicrystalline residue was taken up in chloroform ( 350 mL ) and washed with 0.2 M hydrochloric acid ( 300 mL ). The aqueous phase was extracted with more chloroform ( 50 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate $\rightarrow 3 / 1$ ethyl acetate/acetone) to give 15.8 g ( $95 \%$ ) of 26 as a foam ( $1: 1$ mixture of diastereomers), $R_{f}=0.40$ (ethyl acetate).

IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1591,1558,1336 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.59$ (dt, $J=2.1,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.20(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.8,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ $(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.7,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.8,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.6,151.5,151.2,151.2,151.1,151.0,143.7$, $143.6,135.6,135.6,134.4,134.3,134.2,134.0,132.2,132.2,132.1$, 132.0, 129.6, 129.6, 102.9, 102.8, 61.0, 59.8, 44.2, 43.9, 33.8, 33.6.
( $1^{\prime} S, 2^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R$ )-4'-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-1'-phenylsulfonyl(nitro)-methyl-cyclopentane- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-diol (28). To a solution of olefin 26 (100 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and NMO ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 2 mL ) was added osmium tetroxide ( $4 \%$ aqueous solution, $80 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.01$ mmol ). After the mixture stirred for 5 h and after addition of ethyl acetate $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$, and sodium bisulfite ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirring for an additional 30 min , the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ). The combined organic phases were dried, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate) to give $88.2 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of 28 as a foam, $R_{f}=0.40, \mathrm{mp} 227-229^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec) (MeOH).

IR (KBr): 3800-3000, 1594, 1561, 1450, 1329, 1210, 1157, 1085 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) (10:1 mixture of diastereomers, only data for major diastereomer shown): $\delta 8.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.7,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 152.6,151.3,148.6,148.3$, $135.8,134.1,130.4,129.8,129.6,102.2,73.1,70.8,54.4,37.9,32.7$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 44.99; H, 3.55; N, 15.43. Found: C, 44.79; H, 3.61; N, 15.30.
( $1^{\prime} S, 2^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R$ )-4'-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-2', $3^{\prime}-O$-isopropylidene-$\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$-methoxycarbonyl-cyclopentane- $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-diol (31b). To a solution of nitrosulfone $34(87 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) and THF ( 2 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added $\mathrm{DBU}(50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ozone was bubbled through over 30 min . After the mixture was quenched with acetic acid ( 2 drops) and concentrated to half the volume, the residue was taken up in chloroform $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous phase was extracted with more chloroform ( 2 mL ), and the combined organic phases were dried, concentrated, and chromatographed (4/1 ethyl acetate/hexane) to give $47.1 \mathrm{mg}(76 \%)$ of 31b as a solid, $R_{f}=0.40, \mathrm{mp} 238-240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{EtOAc})$, $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+66.5^{\circ}\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

IR (KBr): 2988, 2949, 1749, 1592, 1562, 1344, 1254, 1205, 1169, 1100, 1068, $948 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.77(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.9,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.78(\mathrm{q}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.9,11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75.5 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 169.7,151.8,151.7$, $150.9,144.8,131.3,111.7,79.3,77.5,54.5,52.0,45.7,28.1,25.2,23.7$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 51.07; H, 4.86; $\mathrm{N}, 15.88$. Found: C, 51.15; H, 5.02; N, 16.07.
( $\left.1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime} S\right)-1^{\prime}$-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-2', $3^{\prime}$-epoxy-4'-phenylsul-fonyl(nitro)methyl-cyclopentane (36). MCPBA ( $85 \%, 500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.46$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of the olefin $26(684 \mathrm{mg}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the mixture was stirred for 44 h . Precipitated $m$-chlorobenzoic acid was filtered off. The filtrate was concentrated and the residue purified by flash chromatography ( $1 / 1$ hexane/ethyl acetate) to afford $518 \mathrm{mg}(73 \%)$ of the epoxide 36 as a solid, $R_{f}=0.49$ (ethyl acetate), $\mathrm{mp} 205-206^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (acetone, cocrystallized with 1 equiv of acetone).

IR (KBr): 2950, 1593, 1560, 1449, 1404, $1338 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)(4: 3$ mixture of diastereomers): Major diastereomer: $\delta$ $8.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2$, $2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{bd}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{bq}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.5,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Minor diastereomer: $\delta 8.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{t}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.49(\mathrm{bq}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.0,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{dt}$, $J=9.5,12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) (both diastereomers): $\delta 152.0,152.0,151.5,151.1,143.0,142.9,135.9,133.7,133.5$, $131.2,129.7,129.7,129.6,129.5,101.8,101.8,58.0,56.4,55.5,55.3$, 54.3, 53.4, 38.3, 38.1, 29.5, 28.8. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ acetone: C, 48.63; H, 4.08; N, 14.18. Found: C, 48.46; H, 4.19; N, 13.96.
( $\mathbf{3}^{\prime} S, 4^{\prime}$ R)-4'-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-3'-hydroxy-1'-methoxycarbonyl-cyclopent-1'-ene (37). A solution of epoxide 36 ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DBU $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(130 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 10 min . Methanol ( 60 mL ) was then added and the mixture cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ozone was bubbled through over 40 min until the starting material had disappeared by TLC. The solution was allowed to warm to room temperature over 3 h and then concentrated. The residue was dissolved in chloroform $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with more chloroform ( 20 mL ), and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (ethyl acetate) to furnish $675 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$ of $\mathbf{3 7}$ as a solid, $R_{f}=0.37, \mathrm{mp} 205-206{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc), $[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+147.4^{\circ}$ (c 1.04, MeOH).

IR (KBr): 3300-3200, 1723, 1592, 1571, 1439, 1407, $1340 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{dt}, J=2.0,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.23-3.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right): \delta 166.1,153.8,152.9,151.0,148.1,142.2$, 138.2, 132.0, 75.1, 57.4, 52.5, 36.0. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 48.91; H, 3.76; N, 19.01. Found: C, 48.86; H, 4.00; N, 18.90 .
(3'R,4'R)-4'-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-1'-methoxycarbonyl-3'-(p-ni-trobenzoyl)oxy-cyclopent- $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$-ene (40). To an ice-cooled solution of alcohol 37 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), p-nitrobenzoic acid ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60$ mmol ), and triphenylphosphine ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 3 mL ) was added DEAD $(90 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The mixture was diluted with chloroform ( 20 mL ) and washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with more chloroform $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic layers were dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography ( $3 / 2$ ethyl acetate/hexane) to give $94 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%)$ of 40 as a foam, $R_{f}=0.32,[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-178.3^{\circ}\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1729,1592,1564,1532,1443,1410,1341 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{q}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56$ $(\mathrm{dq}, J=2.0,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{ddd}, J=5.6,6.9,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86$ (s, 3H), 3.47 (ddt, $J=1.9,8.9,17.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38$ (ddt, $J=2.0,6.9$, 17.1 Hz, 1H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 163.7, 163.4, 151.7, $151.4,151.3,150.7,144.1,138.3,136.3,133.9,132.1,130.8,123.5$, 83.6, 60.9, 52.2, 36.0. HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{14}{ }^{35} \mathrm{ClN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : 443.0633. Found: 443.0616.
( $3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R$ ) $-4^{\prime}$-(6-Chloropurin-9-yl)-3'-hydroxy- $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$-hydroxymethyl-cyclopent- $\boldsymbol{1}^{\prime}$-ene (41). To a solution of ester $\mathbf{4 0}(1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 4.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 25 mL ) and dichloromethane $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DIBAL-H ( 1 M hexane solution, $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then quenched with ethyl acetate $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and saturated aqueous

Rochelle salt ( 30 mL ) and ethyl acetate $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. After the mixture stirred for 3 h , the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The extracts were dried and concentrated to give 1.9 g of a foam which was taken up in methanol ( 50 mL ) and triethylamine ( $1 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 2 h and then concentrated to give a solid residue which was purified by flash chromatography ( $1 / 1$ ethyl acetate/acetone) to afford $920 \mathrm{mg}(79 \%)$ of 41 as a white solid, $R_{f}=0.37, \mathrm{mp} 190-191$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec})(\mathrm{MeOH}),[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-62.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.3, MeOH).

IR (KBr): 3600-3000, 3112, 2860, 1592, 1561, 1495, 1436, 1402, 1338, 1206, 1038, $944 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.79$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{bd}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.0,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz , DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): \delta 151.9,151.2,149.1,146.9,144.6,131.4,125.8,79.3,64.4,59.7$, 36.1. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 49.54; H, 4.16; N, 21.01. Found: C, 49.30; H, 4.26; N, 20.88.
(-)-Neplanocin A (3). A mixture of acetonide 54 ( $61.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in 1 M aqueous hydrochloric acid $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h and then concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in methanol and poured onto a column of Amberlite IRA-400 $(\mathrm{OH})$ ionexchange resin ( 5 mL ). The column was eluted with methanol, and the eluate concentrated to give $48.5 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$ of a white solid, $R_{f}=$ 0.30 ( $3 / 2$ ethyl acetate/methanol), mp $214-215{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right),[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$ $-153.9^{\circ}\left(c 0.33, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left(\right.$ Lit.: $\mathrm{mp} 220-222{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{6} 211-213{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{10 \mathrm{f}} 220-$ $222^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{10 \mathrm{~g}} 212-213^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot ;^{11 \mathrm{~g}}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-157^{\circ}\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right),{ }^{6}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-153.3^{\circ}$ $\left(c \quad 0.3, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right),{ }^{10 \mathrm{f}}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-152^{\circ}\left(c 0.3, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right),{ }^{10 \mathrm{~g}}[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-153.8^{\circ}(c 0.3$, $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{1 \mathrm{lg}}$ ).

IR (KBr): 3600-2600, 2930, 1668, 1648, 1612, 1583, 1486, 1420, 1333, 1306, 1256, 1116, $1035 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{bd}, J=$ $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-4.92(\mathrm{bs}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta$ $156.0,152.3,150.1,149.7,139.5,123.4,119.2,76.6,72.2,64.2,58.6$.

IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data are in accordance with literature values. ${ }^{6,11}$
$\mathbf{2}^{\prime}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-Diepi-Neplanocin A (63). The triacetate $\mathbf{6 2}$ (110 mg, 0.27 mmol ) was dissolved in a saturated solution of ammonia in methanol ( 4 mL ). The flask was sealed and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 4 days. Concentration in vacuo and chromatography (3/2 ethyl acetate/methanol) gave a syrupy residue which was dissolved in methanol and poured on a column of Amberlite IRA-400 (OH) ionexchange resin $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$. The column was eluted with methanol, and the eluate concentrated to afford $49.5 \mathrm{mg}(70 \%)$ of $\mathbf{6 3}$ as a white solid, $R_{f}=0.30, \mathrm{mp} 228-230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH}\right),[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+16.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.33, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

IR (KBr): 3600-2600, 1669, 1648, 1610, 1574, 1480, 1417, 1335, $1306 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}$ ): $\delta 8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{bd}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(101$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-d_{6}\right): \delta 155.9,152.0,151.9,149.6,141.2,121.5,118.7$, 72.8, 70.8, 58.4, 58.3. HRMS Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : 263.1018. Found: 263.1013.
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